

Fixed Income Under the Spotlight

Exploring Tail Risks: What-If Scenarios in the Year of the Dragon

Author



Pilar Gomez-Bravo, CFA
Co-CIO, Fixed Income

In brief

- Geopolitics are particularly challenging this year due to elections, wars and macro policies.
- A no landing scenario could be a worse outcome for markets than a soft landing one.
- A rapid unwind of leverage, while difficult to assess, would have a material adverse impact on credit markets and risky assets in general.

The Year of the Dragon is supposed to bring good luck, and 2024 certainly seems to have started this way. Following healthy market performance in 2023, a soft landing in the United States and elsewhere is now the consensus view. While risk markets have priced in this scenario, we see three tail risks that could derail this soft landing scenario. Below, we share our thoughts on how our global fixed income strategies are positioning exposures given these tail risks.

Tail risk 1 – Geopolitics

Geopolitics always presents a risk, but the large number of elections, ongoing wars and evolving macro policies make this year particularly challenging.

As we move through the year, the US presidential election will be a major focus. Whoever wins, there will be broad implications for security risks, global trade and fiscal policy. If Donald Trump wins, the long-term path of the US dollar should be the focus, especially if there are questions around the rule of law or the institutional strength of the United States. In addition, there could be significant adverse outcomes for certain sectors or asset classes, and in particular for emerging markets.

The path of US fiscal policy is also high on our radar. Usually in election years, the incumbent president loosens fiscal policy. This time the fiscal deficit is quite stretched already, and we don't expect a material change in the overall fiscal deficit this year. Regardless of who wins, we expect a sizable deficit to persist, but the fiscal contours would be different as Democrats would likely continue to focus on spending while Republicans would likely focus on tax cuts. With that in mind, we will continue to discuss debt sustainability issues in the US and the path of term premia in the yield curve. Outside the US, European Parliament and Commission elections in June could introduce fiscal or policy friction, which again may impact currencies and local bond markets.

Discussing the market impact further, the ongoing wars in Ukraine and the Middle East bring a renewed focus on energy and commodities. Europe is better prepared today for energy shortages than when the Ukraine conflict started. However, the energy market could still unravel, particularly if troubles escalate in the Middle East. We are already seeing impacts on supply chains and the cost of commodities, so understanding how the price of oil impacts risk in portfolios is important. In terms of tail risks, potential conflagrations of tensions in other geopolitical hotspots like North Korea and Taiwan bear watching.



The final geopolitical element is the development of macro policies and the economic environment in China and Japan but in each for different reasons. With China, we worry that the country could end up exporting deflation if its current stimulus program does not yield sufficient growth, which would be a negative for Germany and emerging markets as they exhibit the high levels of trade with China. With Japan, the tail risk would be a disorderly move away from its negative interest rate policy. A strong, sharp appreciation in the yen could lead to an unwind of foreign assets by Japanese investors. This profitable carry trade has existed for many years, and its unwind could potentially trigger higher yields in global core rate markets and bear steepening of yield curves.

Tail risk 2 – Which landing?

While the chances of a hard landing have fallen, the tail risk of no landing in the US has become more apparent this year. The consequences of no landing could be significant, in particular the risks coming from potentially higher inflation. Stagflation is the scariest scenario for equity and fixed income investors, but a no-landing scenario presents its own issues. In a no-landing, the economy reaccelerates, continuing to grow above its potential, which would likely cause inflation to rise again. Should this tail risk happen, any rate cut cycle would be short-lived, if it occurs, and it would present a difficult scenario for central bankers and investors. We see a no-landing as detrimental to all asset classes because higher inflation would likely lead to an aggressive reaction from the US Federal Reserve. Although this scenario is unlikely, its impact would be significant, so it is worth watching for.

Tail risk 3 – Unwind of leverage

Our final tail risk is more difficult to measure and exists because we live in a world with crowded trades. Most investors have coalesced around a soft landing scenario and consequently have similar investment theses and positions in their portfolios. Given the rate rises, leverage is no longer free. Therefore, we need to consider systemic risk coming from entities that rely on significant leverage as part of their business model, such as financial institutions. Even though their capital ratios are better today, in particular in Europe, we believe another idiosyncratic bank failure headline, due this time to commercial real estate exposures, is possible. Hedge funds also present a tail risk. In a world where leverage is expensive, some of their business models may not work. While private credit may also present a risk (if they have to crystallize losses), the risk isn't as systemic given its small size compared to the overall size of public bond markets or the magnitude of the impact a potential unwind of leverage could have.

With this tail risk, investors have to be early not to be late, and a strong valuation discipline is key. In other words, we believe it is best to be a provider of liquidity rather than trying to exit crowded trades in an illiquid market. Being nimble, diversified and focused on security selection makes sense in such an uncertain environment.

Navigating tail risks: Portfolio positioning and market views

In the current environment there are likely to be winners and losers. Given the ongoing uncertainty, our focus is on diversification and liquidity in portfolios. Our goal is to take advantage of dislocations and collect risk premia from security selection and conviction views around rates and currencies.



Interest rates and duration

We have significantly reduced our duration this year based on the market rally at the end of last year and the persistently strong macro data in the US.

We have focused on markets where the macro dynamics between growth and inflation are more conducive for rate cuts. For example, Europe and some emerging markets still present attractive opportunities from a valuation and rate cut expectations. In addition, US-dollar-based investors may potentially benefit significantly from investing outside of the country and hedging exposures back to US dollars.

We are also utilizing yield curve trades more actively in portfolios. In our view, whether we see a soft or hard landing, curves will likely steepen at some point, and as a result we are looking to efficiently express curve steepening trades, particularly in the US, which allows us to add duration if yields increase significantly again.

Currencies

We have reduced our exposure to the US dollar but are still overweight due to continued uncertainty. Given the macro and geopolitical risks, markets may still experience periods of risk-off sentiment.

Spread sectors

Due to the potential unwind of leverage, security selection remains important in spread markets. Listening to analysts' best ideas and having conviction on them is key for us.

In high yield, we are focusing on shorter-duration and higher-yielding securities, seeking higher total return per unit of exposure given that our current allocation is low relative to our historic range due to overall tight spreads in this market.

With regard to investment grade, we have reduced our position in US given the significant year-to-date rally. While investment grade remains attractive from a fundamental perspective given a solid economy and robust, though weakening, balance sheets, overall spreads are tight. Even though spreads can remain tight for an extended period of time, we continue to prefer European credit on a valuation basis.

Within emerging markets, we continue to prefer the crossover space as idiosyncratic opportunities arise from risk premia without the need for large hard-currency exposure.

Securitized assets appear an overcrowded trade, especially in the mortgage sector. We still have some exposure to the securitized market, focusing on areas we think banks will buy if they re-enter the market. This provides some downside risk management in case technicals remain challenging for mortgages. ▲



Investments in debt instruments may decline in value as the result of, or perception of, declines in the credit quality of the issuer, borrower, counterparty, or other entity responsible for payment, underlying collateral, or changes in economic, political, issuer-specific, or other conditions. Certain types of debt instruments can be more sensitive to these factors and therefore more volatile. In addition, debt instruments entail interest rate risk (as interest rates rise, prices usually fall). Therefore, the portfolio's value may decline during rising rates. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and are subject to change at any time. These views are for informational purposes only and should not be relied upon as a recommendation to purchase any security or as a solicitation or investment advice. No forecasts can be guaranteed.

This material is for institutional, investment professional and qualified professional investor use only. This material should not be shared with retail investors.

Unless otherwise indicated, logos and product and service names are trademarks of MFS® and its affiliates and may be registered in certain countries.

This material is for general information purposes only, with no consideration given to the specific investment objective, financial situation and particular needs of any specific person. This material does not constitute any promotion of or advice on MFS investment products or services. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and are subject to change at any time. These views are for informational purposes only and should not be relied upon as a recommendation to purchase any security or as a solicitation or investment advice. Past performance or any prediction, projection or forecast is not indicative of future performance. The information contained herein may not be copied, reproduced or redistributed without the express consent of MFS. While reasonable care has been taken to ensure the accuracy of the information as at the date of publication, MFS does not give any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, and expressly disclaims liability for any errors or omissions. Information may be subject to change without notice. MFS accepts no liability for any loss, indirect or consequential damages, arising from the use of or reliance on this material.

Distributed by:

U.S. – MFS Institutional Advisors, Inc. (“MFSI”), MFS Investment Management and MFS Fund Distributors, Inc.; **Latin America** – MFS International Ltd.; **Canada** – MFS Investment Management Canada Limited. No securities commission or similar regulatory authority in Canada has reviewed this communication; **Note to UK and Switzerland readers:** Issued in the UK and Switzerland by MFS International (U.K.) Limited (“MIL UK”), a private limited company registered in England and Wales with the company number 03062718, and authorised and regulated in the conduct of investment business by the UK Financial Conduct Authority. MIL UK, an indirect subsidiary of MFS®, has its registered office at One Carter Lane, London, EC4V 5ER. Note to Europe (ex UK and Switzerland) readers: Issued in Europe by MFS Investment Management (Lux) S.à r.l. (MFS Lux) – authorized under Luxembourg law as a management company for Funds domiciled in Luxembourg and which both provide products and investment services to institutional investors and is registered office is at S.a r.l. 4 Rue Albert Borschette, Luxembourg L-1246. Tel: 352 2826 12800. This material shall not be circulated or distributed to any person other than to professional investors (as permitted by local regulations) and should not be relied upon or distributed to persons where such reliance or distribution would be contrary to local regulation; **Singapore** – MFS International Singapore Pte. Ltd. (CRN 201228809M); **Australia/New Zealand** - MFS International Australia Pty Ltd (“MFS Australia”) (ABN 68 607 579 537) holds an Australian financial services licence number 485343. MFS Australia is regulated by the Australian Securities and Investments Commission.; **Hong Kong** - MFS International (Hong Kong) Limited (“MIL HK”), a private limited company licensed and regulated by the Hong Kong Securities and Futures Commission (the “SFC”). MIL HK is approved to engage in dealing in securities and asset management regulated activities and may provide certain investment services to “professional investors” as defined in the Securities and Futures Ordinance (“SFO”); **For Professional Investors in China** – MFS Financial Management Consulting (Shanghai) Co., Ltd. 2801-12, 28th Floor, 100 Century Avenue, Shanghai World Financial Center, Shanghai Pilot Free Trade Zone, 200120, China, a Chinese limited liability company registered to provide financial management consulting services.; **Japan** - MFS Investment Management K.K., is registered as a Financial Instruments Business Operator, Kanto Local Finance Bureau (FIBO) No.312, a member of the Investment Trust Association, Japan and the Japan Investment Advisers Association. As fees to be borne by investors vary depending upon circumstances such as products, services, investment period and market conditions, the total amount nor the calculation methods cannot be disclosed in advance. All investments involve risks, including market fluctuation and investors may lose the principal amount invested.